

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Appln. No.: 10/697,819 Confirmation No.: 9523  
Applicants: Skov et al.  
Filed: October 31, 2003  
Art Unit: 2614  
Examiner: Jamal, Alexander  
Docket No.: 013628.00519 (02CXT0049C)  
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CONVEYING BIDIRECTIONAL DATA,  
POWER AND TIMING SIGNALS USING A SINGLE TRANSFORMER  
Customer No.: 77339

**Mail Stop: ISSUE FEE**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Dear Sir:

In regards to the Notice Of Allowance mailed September 23, 2010, it is noted that the Examiner has failed to provide any reasons why the noted difference between the allowed claims and the prior art is considered to define the allowed claims over the prior art, and the reason therefore is not clear in the record. For example, the Examiner indicates in the Reasons for Allowance that the claims are allowable because the prior art fails to disclose or suggest certain features recited in various claims. As such, the statement on the Reasons for Allowance fails to address the proper construction of the claims. As claim construction relies on the claims as a whole, the specification and the prosecution history, merely stating that the prior art fails to disclose or suggest the features recited in the various claims fails to provide any reasons why the

noted difference between the allowed claims and the prior art is considered to define the allowed claims over the prior art. Merely identifying a feature from the claims that is not present in the prior art fails to construe that feature in any meaningful way.

Respectfully submitted,

JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.

Dated: December 21, 2010

By: 

Christopher J. Rourk  
Reg. No. 39,348

901 Main Street, Suite 6000  
Dallas, Texas 75202  
Direct: 214/953-5990  
Facsimile: 214/661-6604  
E-mail: [crourk@jw.com](mailto:crourk@jw.com)